JOHN K. VINCENT
United States Attorney
CHRISTOPHER P. SONDERBY
MICHAEL J. MALECEK
Assistant U.S. Attorneys
501 I Street, Suite 10-100
Sacramento, California 95814
Telephone: (916) 554-2827

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

) CR-S-01-0105 LKK

Plaintiff,

) PLEA AGREEMENT OF SCOTT BEACH

V.

KENNETH FETTERMAN, et al.,

Defendants.

)

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Scope of Agreement: The Indictment in this case charges defendant Scott Beach ("defendant") with one count of wire fraud and three counts of mail fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. This document contains the complete Plea Agreement between the United States Attorney's Offices for the Eastern District of California and the District of Colorado (the "government") and the defendant regarding this case. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Offices for the Eastern District of California and the District of Colorado and cannot bind any other federal, state, or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authorities.

B. Court Not A Party: The Court is not a party to this Plea Agreement. Sentencing is a matter solely within the Court's discretion; the Court is under no obligation to accept any recommendations made by the government and may in its discretion impose any sentence it deems appropriate up to and including the statutory maximum stated in this Plea Agreement. If the Court should impose any sentence up to the maximum established by the statute, the defendant cannot, for that reason alone, withdraw his guilty plea, and he will remain bound to fulfill all of the obligations under this Agreement. The defendant understands that neither the prosecutor, defense counsel, nor the Court can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence he will receive.

II.

DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

- A. Guilty Pleas: The defendant shall plead guilty to Counts 1, 5, 6 and 10 of the Indictment. The defendant agrees that he is, in fact, guilty of these counts charged in the Indictment and that the facts set forth in the Factual Basis attached hereto as Exhibit A are accurate.
- **B. Restitution:** The defendant agrees to pay full restitution to the victims of these offenses as determined by the Court. The defendant agrees that this restitution amount includes, but is not limited to, the following payments:

<u>Victim</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Auction</u>
Josef Wolosz	\$ 4,520	"Califano"
Sonna Perlman	\$ 8,600	"Dutch Oil"
Kevin McCauley	\$10,050	"Backhuysen"

Mike States \$ 6,100 "Utrillo"

Daniel Morris \$10,400 "Wendt"

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- C. Special Assessment: The defendant agrees to pay a special assessment of \$400 by delivering a check or money order payable to the United States District Court to the United States Probation Office immediately before the sentencing hearing. The defendant understands that this Plea Agreement is voidable by the government if he fails to pay the assessment prior to that hearing.
- Agreement to Cooperate: The defendant agrees to cooperate fully with the government and any other federal, state, or local law enforcement agency, as directed by the government. As used in this Agreement, "cooperation" requires the defendant: (1) to respond truthfully and completely to all questions, whether in interviews, in correspondence, telephone conversations, before a grand jury, or at any trial or other court proceeding; (2) to attend all meetings, grand jury sessions, trials, and other proceedings at which the defendant's presence is requested by the government or compelled by subpoena or court order; (3) to produce voluntarily any and all documents, records, or other tangible evidence requested by the government; (4) not to participate in any criminal activity while cooperating with the government; (5) to disclose to the government the existence and status of all money, property, or assets, of any kind, derived from or acquired as a result of, or used to facilitate the commission of, the defendant's illegal activities or the illegal activities of any conspirators; and (6) prepare and file such tax returns and/or amended tax returns as the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) deems necessary and pay such back taxes as the IRS determines may be owed from such returns.

If the defendant commits any crimes or if any of the defendant's statements or testimony prove to be knowingly false, misleading, or materially incomplete, or if the defendant otherwise violates this Plea Agreement in any way, the government will no longer be bound by its representations to the defendant concerning the limits on criminal prosecution and sentencing as set forth The determination whether the defendant has violated the Plea Agreement will be under a probable cause standard. defendant violates the Plea Agreement, he shall thereafter be subject to prosecution for any federal criminal violation of which the government has knowledge, including but not limited to perjury, false statements, and obstruction of justice. Because disclosures pursuant to this Agreement will constitute a waiver of the Fifth Amendment privilege against compulsory self-incrimination, any such prosecution may be premised on statements and/or information provided by the defendant. Moreover, any prosecutions that are not time-barred by the applicable statute of limitations as of the date of this Agreement may be commenced in accordance with this paragraph, notwithstanding the expiration of the statute of limitations between the signing of this Agreement and the commencement of any such prosecutions. The defendant agrees to waive all defenses based on the statute of limitations or delay of prosecution with respect to any prosecutions that are not timebarred as of the date of this Agreement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

If it is determined that the defendant has violated any provision of this Agreement or if the defendant successfully moves to withdraw his plea: (1) all statements made by the defendant to the government or other designated law enforcement agents, or any

testimony given by the defendant before a grand jury or other tribunal, whether before or after this Agreement, shall be admissible in evidence in any criminal, civil, or administrative proceedings hereafter brought against the defendant; and (2) the defendant shall assert no claim under the United States

Constitution, any statute, Rule 11(e)(6) of the Federal Rules of

Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other federal rule, that statements made by the defendant before or after this Agreement, or any leads derived therefrom, should be suppressed. By signing this Agreement, the defendant waives any and all rights in the foregoing respects.

E. No Internet or On-line Auction Activity: The defendant agrees not to participate, directly or indirectly, in any internet or on-line auctions from the date he signs this Plea Agreement until the termination of his term of supervised release by the Court.

III.

THE GOVERNMENT'S OBLIGATIONS

- A. No Further Prosecution: Except as to potential criminal tax violations (as to which the government can make no promises of non-prosecution), the government agrees not to further prosecute the defendant for the scheme charged in the Indictment.
- B. Incarceration Range: The government will recommend that the defendant be sentenced to a sentence at the bottom of the applicable guideline range for his offenses as determined by the United States Probation Office.
- C. Reduction of Sentence for Cooperation: The government agrees to recommend at the time of sentencing that the defendant's sentence be reduced to a term of as low as probation without

incarceration, if he provides substantial assistance to the government, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The defendant understands that he must comply with paragraph II(D) of this Agreement to receive a government recommendation for any reduction in his sentence. The defendant understands that it is within the sole and exclusive discretion of the government to determine whether the defendant has provided substantial assistance. The defendant understands that the government may recommend no reduction in his sentence at all, depending upon the level of assistance the government determines that the defendant has provided. The defendant further understands that a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 is only a recommendation and is not binding on the Court, that this Agreement confers no right upon the defendant to require that the government make a § 5K1.1 motion, and that this Agreement confers no remedy upon the defendant in the event that the government declines to make a § 5K1.1 motion. In particular, the defendant agrees not to try to file a motion to withdraw his plea based on the fact that the government decides not to recommend a sentence reduction or recommends a sentence reduction less than the defendant thinks is appropriate.

If the government determines that the defendant has provided further cooperation within one year following his sentencing, the government may move for a further reduction of his sentence pursuant to Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

D. Acceptance of Responsibility: If the United States

Probation Office determines that a reduction in defendant's offense

level for his full and clear demonstration of acceptance of

responsibility is appropriate under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, the government

will stipulate to such a reduction.

IV.

MAXIMUM SENTENCE

A. Maximum Penalty: The maximum penalty the Court can impose on each count to which the defendant is agreeing to plead guilty is five years imprisonment, a \$250,000 fine, a three-year term of supervised release, and a mandatory special penalty assessment of \$100 upon conviction. In the event that defendant's supervised release is revoked, the Court may impose an additional sentence of up to two years imprisonment under 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3).

٧.

ELEMENTS OF CHARGED CRIMES

A. Mail Fraud: As to Counts 5, 6 and 10 of the Indictment, which charge mail fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant made up or participated in a scheme or plan for obtaining money or property by making false promises or statements;

Second, the defendant knew that the promises or statements were false;

Third, the promises or statements were material, that is they would reasonably influence a person to part with money or property;

Fourth, the defendant acted with the intent to defraud; and Fifth, the defendant used, or caused to be used, the mails to carry out or attempt to carry out an essential part of the scheme.

B. Wire Fraud: As to Count 1 of the Indictment, which charges wire fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, the government must

prove beyond a reasonable doubt the first four elements identified above, in addition to the following:

Fifth, the defendant used, or caused to be used, a wire communication in interstate commerce to carry out or attempt to carry out an essential part of the scheme.

VI.

SENTENCING DETERMINATION

- A. Statutory Authority: The defendant understands that the Court will determine a sentencing guideline range for his case under the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 (18 U.S.C. §§ 3551-3742 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 991-998). Defendant further understands that the Court will impose a sentence within that guideline range, unless it finds that there is a basis for departure (either above or below the range) because there exists an aggravating or mitigating circumstance of a kind, or to a degree, not adequately taken into consideration by the Sentencing Commission in formulating the guidelines.
- B. Stipulations Affecting Guidelines Calculation: The government and the defendant agree that there is no material dispute as to the following sentencing guidelines variables and therefore stipulate to the following:
- 1. Base Offense Level: Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2F1.1(a), the parties agree that the base offense level is 6.

2. Specific Offense Characteristics:

a. Amount of Loss: Based on the auctions that defendant hosted or participated in that involved the placement of fraudulent bids, a reasonable estimate of the intended loss from the offenses concerning defendant is

between \$200,000 and \$350,000. Based on an intended amount of loss of between \$200,000 and 350,000, the parties agree that the offense level should be increased by 8 levels pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2F1.1(b)(1).

- b. More than Minimal Planning: Because the scheme to defraud involved more than minimal planning, the parties agree that the offense level should be increased by 2 levels pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2F1.1(b)(2).
- c. Sophisticated Means: Because the offenses involved the creation of numerous aliases using false information and different e-mail providers, the parties agree that the offense level should be increased by 2 levels pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2F1.1(b)(6)(C).
- 3. Acceptance of Responsibility: Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, the parties agree that the offense level should be reduced by three levels if the defendant, both in the guilty plea proceeding and in his dealings with the federal probation office, continues to be truthful and clearly demonstrate an affirmative acceptance of personal responsibility for the offenses committed.
- 4. Departures: Except as provided above in section III(C) with respect to a motion by the government for a reduction in sentence under U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1, the parties agree that no departures are warranted, and agree not to make any motion for departures.

VII.

WAIVERS

A. Waiver of Constitutional Rights: The defendant understands that by pleading guilty he waives the following constitutional

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(a) to plead not guilty and to persist in that plea if already made; (b) to be tried by a jury; (c) to be assisted at trial by an attorney who would be appointed at no cost or reduced cost to him in the event that he qualifies; (d) to subpoena, confront, and cross-examine witnesses against him; and (e) not to be compelled to incriminate himself.

Waiver of Appeal and Collateral Attack: The defendant understands that the law gives him a right to appeal his conviction and sentence. He agrees as part of his plea, however, to give up this right to appeal as long as, and to the extent that, his sentence is consistent with the stipulations set forth above about the sentencing guidelines variables. He specifically gives up his right to appeal any order of restitution the Court may impose.

The defendant also gives up any right he may have to bring a post-conviction attack on his conviction or his sentence. specifically agrees not to file a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 or § 2241 attacking his conviction or sentence.

If the defendant's conviction on any of the counts to which he is pleading is ever vacated at the defendant's request, or his sentence is ever reduced at his request, the government shall have the right (1) to prosecute the defendant on any of the counts to which he pleaded guilty; (2) to reinstate any counts that may be dismissed pursuant to this agreement; and (3) to file any new charges that would otherwise be barred by this agreement. The decision to pursue any or all of these options is solely in the discretion of the United States Attorney's Office. By signing this agreement, the defendant agrees to waive any objections, motions, and defenses he might have to the government's decision.

particular, he agrees not to raise any objections based on the passage of time with respect to such counts including, but not limited to, any statutes of limitation or any objections based on the Speedy Trial Act or the Speedy Trial Clause of the Sixth Amendment.

Waiver of Attorneys' Fees and Costs: The defendant agrees to waive all rights under the "Hyde Amendment," Section 617, P.L. 105-119 (Nov. 26, 1997), to recover attorneys' fees or other litigation expenses in connection with the investigation and prosecution of all charges in the above-captioned matter and of any related allegations (including without limitation any charges to be dismissed pursuant to this Agreement and any charges previously dismissed).

VIII.

ENTIRE PLEA AGREEMENT

Other than this Plea Agreement, no agreement, understanding, promise, or condition between the government and the defendant exists, nor will such agreement, understanding, promise, or condition exist unless it is committed to writing and signed by the defendant, counsel for the defendant, and counsel for the government.

22 / / /

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 23 / / /
- 24 / / /
- 25
- 26
- 27 / / /
- 28 / / /

IX.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DATED: April 17, 2001

/ / /

23

24

25

26

27

28

APPROVALS AND SIGNATURES

Defense Counsel: I have read this Plea Agreement and have discussed it fully with my client. The Plea Agreement accurately and completely sets forth the entirety of the agreement. I concur in my client's decision to plead quilty as set forth in this Agreement.

DATED: April 17, 2001

JEFFREY A. SPRINGER Attorney for Defendant Scott Beach

Defendant: I have read this Plea Agreement and carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. I understand it, and I voluntarily agree to it. Further, I have consulted with my attorney and fully understand my rights with respect to the provisions of the Sentencing Guidelines which may apply to my case. No other promises or inducements have been made to me, other than those contained in this Agreement. In addition, no one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this Plea Agreement. Finally, I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this case.

SCOTT BEACH Defendant

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

1	C. Attorneys for the United States: I accept and agree to
2	this Plea Agreement on behalf of the government.
3 4	DATED: April 17, 2001 JOHN K. VINCENT United States Attorney Eastern District of California
5	
6	
7	By: CHRISTOPHER P. SONDERBY
8	MICHAEL J. MALECEK Assistant U.S. Attorneys
9	
10	RICHARD T. SPRIGGS
11	United States Attorney District of Colorado
12	_
13	By:THOMAS M. O'ROURKE
14	Assistant U.S. Attorney
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

EXHIBIT A - FACTUAL BASIS

The United States would prove the following beyond a reasonable doubt at trial:

During the period from November 1998 to May 2000, defendant BEACH participated in a scheme to defraud bidders in artwork sold on the internet auction site eBay with Kenneth Fetterman and Kenneth Walton. Defendant BEACH and his co-schemers accomplished the fraud by: (1) creating more than 40 aliases on eBay using false registration information; and (2) using those aliases to place fraudulent bids to artificially inflate the prices of hundreds of paintings they auctioned on eBay. Defendant BEACH and his co-schemers would jointly purchase paintings for resale on eBay and split the profits and place fraudulent bids on each other's paintings.

Phony Registrations on eBay

Prior to buying or selling on eBay, users are required to register with eBay by providing a name, email address, telephone number and, in the case of sellers, a credit card number. eBay also permits a user to select a "User ID," which identifies him or her to other eBay users during auctions. As part of the fraudulent scheme, defendant BEACH and his co-schemers registered on eBay under numerous aliases that were not readily traceable to their real identities. They shielded their true identities by providing bogus names, addresses and telephone information, and by providing e-mail addresses they had obtained from various e-mail providers using false information.

From November 1998 to May 2000, defendant BEACH registered numerous User IDs in furtherance of the scheme to defraud, including boyscoutsofamerica; caritos; birdaroo; pickinlickingood; beardluvr; astheworldturns; secretingediant; puckchuck; gaelicpride; velvitarthound; and pigroast. In doing so, defendant BEACH often provided eBay with false information.

From November 1998 to May 2000, Kenneth Walton, defendant BEACH's co-schemer, registered under nearly 20 different User IDs on eBay, including the following: grecescu; advice; golfpoorly; cheesesix; w.; fouroneone; flipbackwards; dragul; bububuy; gudger@yawmail.com; cool-arturo; skapie; slance@youpy.com; worldpea; vamp@newyorkcity.com; philjohn@parsmail.com; skippy@antisocial.com; p_giacometti@bluemail.ch; and curatrix.

From about October 1998 through about May 2000, Kenneth Fetterman, another co-schemer, also registered under more than 20 different User IDs on eBay, including pogdog; howdyhi; estate-queen; education1; big-fat-mamba-jambas; lackley; artpro; jgle; caritos; curators@law.com; warpspeed111; thriftstorebob; wtbs1; homeboy101; jamespage1; stateworker; tg-graphics; show-boy; fat-pat; tech-law; utrillo@oncourier.com; charles still@pmail.net; and mr.underbid.

In 2000, defendant BEACH and Fetterman exchanged User IDs and passwords to allow each other to place fraudulent bids using each other's on-line aliases.

2.7

To induce other eBay users to deal with their aliases, defendant BEACH and his co-schemers repeatedly made bogus positive comments to the Feedback Profiles of their multiple User IDs on eBay, which allow users to measure the reliability of other users.

<u>Auction Summary</u>

Defendant BEACH engaged in most of his selling activity under the User ID's boyscoutsofamerica and caritos. Between approximately October 1998 and May 2000, these User IDs hosted approximately 250 auctions, with most involving artwork. Nearly half of these auctions involved the placement of fraudulent bids by the User IDs identified above to artificially inflate the price of these items. The final sale prices on these auctions ranged as high as \$10,400. Defendant BEACH also placed fraudulent bids on auctions hosted by Fetterman and Walton.

The total value of the highest winning bids in the auctions hosted by defendant BEACH in which fraudulent bids were placed, plus those auctions hosted by co-schemers in which defendant BEACH placed fraudulent bids, is between \$200,000 and \$350,000. The total value of the shill bids in those auctions is also between \$200,000 and \$350,000.

All of the fraudulent bids constituted misrepresentations that defendant BEACH and his co-schemers were legitimate and independent eBay users who intended, and were willing, to pay the amounts they bid on their own listings. By making these misrepresentations, defendant BEACH and his co-schemers intended to defraud other eBay users by causing them to place significantly higher bids for these listings than they would have absent the fraudulent bids.

The "RD 52" Auction in May 2000

On April 28, 2000, Walton listed a painting "RD 52" for sale on eBay in a 10-day auction under his alias golfpoorly, which had little history in trading in art. During the course of the auction, more than 50 fraudulent bids were placed by the phony User IDs of defendant BEACH, Fetterman and Walton, in amounts ranging from 30 cents to \$135,505. As a result of the scheme to defraud, an eBay user was induced to place a winning bid of approximately \$135,805 on the "RD 52."

The "Califano" Auction in May 2000

On April 30, 2000, Fetterman listed a painting for sale on eBay under the User ID pogdog that he titled "LARGE OLD OIL PAINTING BY CALIFANO - HIS BEST." During the course of the auction, defendant BEACH and his co-schemers made approximately 18 fraudulent bids on the auction of the "Califano," ranging from \$360 to \$4,000. As a result of the scheme to defraud, an eBay user was induced to place a

winning bid of approximately \$4,520 on the "Califano."

The "Utrillo" Auction in March 2000

On March 21, 2000, defendant BEACH listed a painting for sale on eBay under the User ID boyscoutsofamerica titled "MOVING IMPRESSIONIST PAINTING OF A WINDMILL." Defendant BEACH posted a digital picture on eBay showing what appeared to be the name "Utrillo" on the painting. During the course of the auction defendant BEACH and his co-schemers made approximately 44 fraudulent bids on the auction of the "Utrillo" ranging from \$57 to \$4,999. The highest fraudulent bids in this auction were placed by the User ID utrillo@monocourrier.com, which Fetterman had created for the purpose of placing fraudulent bids on the "Utrillo." Fetterman inserted the surname "utrillo" in the User ID, and used an email provider with a French name to convey the false impression that a relative of Maurice Utrillo was bidding on the "Utrillo." As a result of the scheme to defraud, an eBay user was induced to place a winning bid of approximately \$6,100 on the "Utrillo."

The "Wendt" Auction in February 2000

On January 24, 2000, defendant BEACH listed a painting for sale on eBay under the User ID boyscoutsofamerica titled "Funky Old Purple Toned Impressionist Painting." A digital photo of the painting was placed on eBay which showed the signature of the renowned American painter William Wendt. The signature of Wendt had been forged on the painting as part of the scheme to defraud.

During the course of the auction, defendant BEACH and Fetterman made approximately 21 fraudulent bids on the auction of the "Wendt," ranging from \$40 to \$1,025. As a result of the scheme to defraud, an eBay user was induced to place a winning bid of approximately \$10,400 on the "Wendt."

The "Dutch Oil" Auction in February 2000

On January 20, 2000, Fetterman listed a painting for sale on eBay under the User ID pogdog titled "Large Museum Exhibited 19C Dutch Oil Painting." During the course of the auction, defendant BEACH and his co-schemers made approximately 16 fraudulent bids, ranging from \$1,749 to \$6,888.88. As a result of the scheme to defraud, an eBay user was induced to place a winning bid of approximately \$8,600 for the "Dutch Oil."

The "Backhuysen" Auction in October 1998

On October 14, 1998, Fetterman listed a painting for sale on eBay in an auction under the User ID pogdog titled "Best Painting on Ebay - Orig.Backhuysen Oil." During the course of the auction, defendant BEACH made approximately four fraudulent bids ranging from \$5,000 to \$9,722. As a result of the scheme to defraud, an eBay user was induced to place a winning bid of approximately \$10,400 on this painting.

1 2

Use of Mails and Wires

On or about the dates set forth below, defendant BEACH furthered the scheme to defraud by causing or aiding and abetting the communications below, and by participating in the scheme under circumstances in which the communications involving his co-schemers Fetterman and Walton were foreseeable to defendant BEACH, as follows:

Count	Material Sent	Date	То	From
1	e-mail promoting sale of "RD 52"	5/8/00	eBay user in The Netherlands	WALTON in Sacramento
5	check for "Califano" sent via U.S. Postal Service	05/12/00	Lane Therrell P.O. Box 442 Placerville, CA 95667	eBay user in New York
6	check for "Dutch Oil" sent via U.S. Postal Service	02/01/00	Lane Therrell P.O. Box 442 Placerville, CA 95667	eBay user in New Jersey
10	check for "Backhuysen" sent via U.S. Postal Service	10/30/98	Lane Therrell P.O. Box 442 Placerville, CA 95667	eBay user in Penn- sylvania